į					
1	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California				
2	D. KENNETH BAUMGARTEN, State Bar No. 124371 Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266				
3					
4					
5	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2195				
6	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061				
7	Attorneys for Complainant				
8					
9	BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11					
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 10-2002-138718			
13	MURAT O. GEMICI, M.D. 1420 Centre Avenue, #1100	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER			
14	Pittsburgh, PA 15219	[Gov. Code, §11520]			
15	9170 Charles Street Omaha, NE 68114	[Gov. Code, §11320]			
16	Physician and Surgeon's				
17	Certificate No. A69511 Respondent.				
18					
19					
20	<u>FINDINGS OF</u>	F FACT			
21	1. On or about August 13, 1999, the Medical Board of California issued				
22	Physician and Surgeon's Certificate No. A69511 to MURAT O. GEMICI, M.D., ("Respondent").				
23	This certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges alleged in the				
24	pending First Amended Accusation. This license is, however, on inactive status at Respondent's				
25	request and is currently delinquent for non-payment of bi-annual renewal fees, with an expiration				
26	date of May 31, 2003, unless renewed.				
27	2. On or about August 12, 2003, Complainant Ron Joseph, in his official				
28	capacity as Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer				

Affairs, State of California, caused to be filed against Respondent, before the Division of Medical Quality ("Division"), Accusation No. 10-2002-138718 along with a Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7. These documents were initially served to Respondent's address of record with the Division, which was 1481 Green Oak Road, Vista, CA 92083, but were returned to the Board undeliverable. These documents were then served by certified mail to a forwarding address of 1420 Centre Avenue, #1100, Pittsburgh, PA 15219 and were signed for by Respondent on 8/22/03. **Exhibit A**. Respondent did not file a Notice of Defense to this Accusation.

- 3. On or about September 12, 2003, Complainant Ron Joseph, in his official capacity as Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, caused to be filed against Respondent, First Amended Accusation No. 10-2002-138718, together with a Supplemental Statement to Respondent. These documents were served by certified mail to Respondent's address of 1420 Centre Avenue, #1100, Pittsburgh, PA 15219 and were signed for by Respondent on 11/24/03. **Exhibit B**. Respondent has not filed a Notice of Defense to this First Amended Accusation.
- 4. Service of the Accusation and First Amended Accusation were effective on 8/22/03 and 11/24/03 respectively as a matter of law, pursuant to Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c).
- 5. Since service of the Accusation and First Amended Accusation, and related documents, Respondent has failed to file a Notice of Defense in this action.
- 6. On December 17, 2003, a certified letter was sent to Respondent at his Pennsylvania address of 1420 Centre Avenue, #1100, Pittsburgh, PA 15219. **Exhibit C**. The green certified letter receipt was subsequently returned to the Office of the Attorney General indicating that the letter was accepted by someone identified as Gerald Asper on 1/17/04 at 9170 Charles Street, Omaha, Nebraska, 68114. **Exhibit D**.

The purpose of this letter was to advise Respondent of the necessity that he complete and return a Notice of Defense in this action, in order to preserve his right to a hearing

10

11

12

14

13

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

on the pending charges. Respondent was given until 1/5/04 in order to complete and return a signed Notice of Defense. Respondent was also advised that his failure to return a signed Notice of Defense would result in the filing of a default petition in this action with the likely outcome being that his Physician and Surgeon's certificate would be revoked. Alternatively, included with this letter was also a pleading entitled Stipulated Surrender of Medical License and Order that was provided to allow Respondent the option of surrendering his California medical license in lieu of a disciplinary hearing on the charges pending in the First Amended Accusation.

To date, Respondent has not responded to this letter, has not returned a signed Notice of Defense and has not signed and returned the Stipulated Surrender of Medical License and Order.

- 7. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
- "(c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing."
- 8. Respondent has failed to file a Notice of Defense in this case, despite being advised of his obligation to do so. Therefore, Respondent has waived his right to a hearing on the merits of First Amended Accusation No. 10-2002-138718.
 - 9. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
 - "(a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent."
- 10. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Division hereby finds Respondent is in default in this case. The Division is therefore permitted, and hereby does, take action in this matter without further hearing. By virtue of this default, the allegations in First Amended Accusation No. 10-2002-138718 are hereby deemed true, together with the evidence contained in Exhibits A, B, C and D appended hereto and incorporated herein.

1	THIS DECIS	ION SHALL BECOME EFFECTIVE ON APRIL 15, 2004			
2	DATED: MARCH 16, 2004				
3					
4					
5	FOR THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY				
6 7	MEDICAL BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS LORIE G. RICE, CHAIR, PANEL A				
8	ATTACHMENTS:				
9	Exhibit A: Accusation No.10-2002-138718 and				
10	Exmon 71.	Related Documents and Declarations of Service			
11	Exhibit B:	First Amended Accusation No. 10-2002-138718 and			
12		Related Documents and Declarations of Service			
13	Exhibit C:	Certified Letter to Respondent, dated 12/17/03			
14	Exhibit D:	Certified Mail Receipt Reflecting Delivery of Exhibit C on 1/17/04.			
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28	ļ				

FILED STATE OF CALIFORNIA MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA SACRAMENTO Xl ptember 12, 20 03 1 BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General BY Merionone **ANALYST** of the State of California D. KENNETH BAUMGARTEN, State Bar No. 124371 2 Deputy Attorney General 3 California Department of Justice 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101 4 P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266 5 Telephone: (619) 645-2195 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 6 7 Attorneys for Complainant 8 BEFORE THE 9 DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 10 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 Case No. 10-2002-138718 In the Matter of the Accusation Against: 12 MURAT O. GEMICI, M.D. 1481 Green Oak Road FIRST AMENDED 13 Vista, California 92083 ACCUSATION 14 1420 Centre Avenue, #1100 15 Pittsburg, PA 15219 16 Physician & Surgeon's Certificate No. A 69511 17 Respondent. 18 Complainant alleges, as cause for disciplinary action, the following: 19 20 **PARTIES** 1. Ron Joseph ("Complainant") brings this First Amended Accusation solely 21 in his official capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department 22 23 of Consumer Affairs. 2. Murato Gemici, M.D. ("Respondent"), was issued Physician and Surgeon's 24 Certificate Number A 69511 on or about August 13, 1999 by the Medical Board of California. 25 This license is, however, on inactive status at Respondent's request. The inactive status had an 26 expiration date of May 31, 2003, unless renewed. 27 28 ///

JURISDICTION

- 3. This First Amended Accusation is brought before the Division of Medical Quality, ("Division") of the Medical Board of California, under the authority of the following sections of the Business and Professions Code ("Code"):
 - A. Section 2227 of the Code provides that a licensee who is found guilty under the Medical Practice Act may have his or her license revoked, suspended for a period not to exceed one year, placed on probation and required to pay the costs of probation monitoring, or such other action taken in relation to discipline as the Division deems proper.
 - B. Section 2234 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

The Division of Medical Quality shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct, which includes:

- "(e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.
- (f) Any action or conduct which would have warranted the denial of a certificate."
 - C. Section 2236 of the Code states:
- "(a) The conviction of any offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon constitutes unprofessional conduct within the meaning of this chapter [Chapter 5, the Medical Practice Act]. The record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred.
- "(b) The district attorney, city attorney, or other prosecuting agency shall notify the Division of Medical Quality of the pendency of an action against a licensee charging a felony or misdemeanor immediately upon obtaining information that the defendant is a licensee. The notice shall identify the licensee and described the crimes charged and the facts alleged. The prosecuting agency

shall also notify the clerk of the court in which the action is pending that the defendant is a licensee, and the clerk shall record prominently in the file that the defendant holds a license as a physician and surgeon.

"(c) The clerk of the court in which a licensee is convicted of a crime shall, within 48 hours after the conviction, transmit a certified copy of the record of conviction to the board. The division may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of a crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.

"(d) A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction after a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this section and Section 2236.1. The record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred."

D. Section 2239 of the Code states:

"(a) The use or prescribing for or administering to himself or herself, of any controlled substance; or the use of any of the dangerous drugs specified in Section 4022, or of alcoholic beverages, to the extent, or in such a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to the licensee, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that such use impairs the ability of the licensee to practice medicine safely or more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any of the substances referred to in this section, or any combination thereof, constitutes unprofessional conduct. The record of the conviction is conclusive evidence of such unprofessional conduct."

"(b) A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this section. The Division of Medical Quality may order discipline of the licensee in accordance with Section 2227 or the Division of Licensing may order the denial of the license when the time for appeal has elapsed or the judgment of conviction

has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing such person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, complaint, information, or indictment."

E. Section 2238 of the Code states:

"A violation of any federal statute or federal regulation or any of the statutes or regulations of this state regulating dangerous drugs or controlled substances constitutes unprofessional conduct."

F. Section 820 of the Code states:

"Whenever it appears that any person holding a license, certificate or permit under this division or under any initiative act referred to in this division may be unable to practice his or her profession safely because the licentiate's ability to practice is impaired due to mental illness, or physical illness affecting competency, the licensing agency may order the licentiate to be examined by one or more physicians and surgeons or psychologists designated by the agency. The report of the examiners shall be made available to the licentiate and may be received as direct evidence in proceedings conducted pursuant to Section 822."

G. Section 14124.12 of the Welfare and Institutions Code states, in pertinent part:

"(a) Upon receipt of written notice from the Medical Board of California, the Osteopathic Medical Board of California, or the Board of Dental Examiners of California, that a licensee's license has been placed on probation as a result of a disciplinary action, the department may not reimburse any Medi-Cal claim for the type of surgical service or invasive procedure that gave rise to the probation, including any dental surgery or invasive procedure, that was performed by the licensee on or after the effective date of probation and until the termination

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	j.
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

of all probationary terms and conditions or until the probationary period has ended, whichever occurs first. This section shall apply except in any case in which the relevant licensing board determines that compelling circumstances warrant the continued reimbursement during the probationary period of any Medi-Cal claim, including any claim for dental services, as so described. In such a case, the department shall continue to reimburse the licensee for all procedures, except for those invasive or surgical procedures for which the licensee was placed on probation."

- H. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board/Registrar/Director may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.
- I. Section 2305 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the revocation, suspension, or other discipline, restriction, or limitation imposed by another state upon a license or certificate to practice medicine issued by that state, that would be grounds for discipline in California of a licensee, shall constitute grounds for disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct against the licensee in this state.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Conviction of a Crime)

- 4. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2236 of the Code in that, on or about September 26, 2002, following a military General Court Martial, Respondent was convicted of multiple violations of the Uniform Code of Military justice.

 Respondent admitted the following violations as part of the General Court Martial:
 - A. Respondent improperly worked for Sharps Medical Clinic without first having obtained the permission of his commanding officer.
 - B. Respondent failed to submit timely monthly reports for his off-duty work at the Fallbrook Clinic for September 2001, December 2001, and January 2002.

111

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

l			
1	A. Paragraph 4 is hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth		
2	herein.		
3	B. Respondent admitted to and was subsequently convicted on or		
4	about September 26, 2002, at a military General Court Martial, of stealing various		
5	medical items from a medical clinic and the Camp Pendleton Naval Hospital.		
6	FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE		
7	(Mental Illness)		
8	8. Respondent is further subject to disciplinary action under section 820 of		
9	the Code in that he has a mental condition known as a bipolar disorder which impairs his ability		
10	to practice medicine safely. The circumstances are as follows:		
11	A. While being treated for addiction in 2002, Respondent was		
12	diagnosed with bipolar disorder by Dr. J.M.		
13	B. Respondent was treated for this bipolar disorder with medication,		
14	at one point taking six different types of drugs including Trazadone, Depakote, and		
15	Zyprexa. He is also taking Lexapro for depression.		
16	SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE		
17	(Discipline by Another State)		
18	9. Respondent is further subject to disciplinary action under section 2305 of		
19	the Code in that his certificate to practice medicine in the state of Nebraska has been revoked.		
20	The circumstances are as follows:		
21	A. On or about April 28, 2003, the Office of the Attorney General for		
22	the state of Nebraska filed a Petition for Disciplinary Action against Respondent with the		
23	Nebraska Department of Health and Human Services. This Petition alleged, inter alia, the		
24	same or similar conduct alleged herein against Respondent in paragraphs 4-8 <u>infra</u> .		
25	B. On or about April 30, 2003, the notice of hearing on the Nebraska		
26	charges was served on Respondent by certified mail.		
27	C. On or about June 9, 2003, a hearing was held on the Nebraska		

charges. Respondent was present and represented by counsel at this hearing.